

## Age, Maturity, and Development

### INDEX ONE

minimum age standards, 13  
*Atkins v Virginia*, on death penalty for juveniles, 3  
 juvenile cases, 12, 14–15, 20–22, 28  
 legislation, prohibiting execution of juveniles, 5–7  
 mentally retarded, compared to juveniles, 11–12  
 persons under 18. *See* juvenile cases  
*Stanford v Kentucky*  
     on development of juveniles, 13, 14  
     on juvenile death penalty, 12  
 trials  
     errors in, 17–19, 20  
     juveniles mistakes in, 20–22, 28

### INDEX TWO

age  
     immaturity of child offenders, 12–13, 21–22  
     minimum, 13  
     as mitigating factor, 18–19  
 child offenders  
     age as mitigating factor, 18–19  
     culpability of, 12, 14–15  
     on death row, 9  
     and deterrence, 16  
     emotional disturbance of, 19–20  
     exemption in legislation, 5  
     and false confessions, 21–22  
     and Federal Death Penalty Act, 5–6  
     geographic concentration of executions, 9–10  
     and geographical bias, 27  
     immature actions of, 28  
     immaturity of, 12–13, 19–20, 21–22  
     international prohibition, 24  
     minimum age, 13  
     position of organizations on, 7–8  
     risk of wrongful execution, 17–18  
     states allowing execution of, 6–7  
 culpability, of child offenders, 12, 14–15  
 juvenile offenders. *See* child offenders  
 legislation, state juvenile exclusion, 3–4  
 mitigating factors, age as, 18–19

national consensus, on execution of child offenders, 5  
*Stanford v Kentucky*  
     on culpability of youth, 12, 14–15  
     on minimum age, 13  
 states, allowing juvenile executions, 6–7  
 youth offenders. *See* child offenders

### INDEX THREE

adolescents. *See* children  
 age  
     for death penalty eligibility, 4, 13, 27  
     legal minimum, 12–13  
     as mitigating factor, 18–19  
 brain development, 13  
 child offenders  
     age as mitigating factor, 18–19  
     on death row, 1, 6, 7, 9, 10, 15, 19, 20, 21, 29  
     diminished culpability, 11, 12  
     executions, 1, 6–7, 9, 12, 14, 20, 22, 24, 25, 28  
     family background as mitigating factor, 19–20, 21  
     impaired development, 17  
     perceived lack of remorse, 20–21  
     societal factors, 14  
     typical profile, 19, 20, 21  
     wrongful convictions, 17  
 children  
     brain development, 13  
     minimum age requirements, 12–13  
     as witnesses, 17, 22  
 executions, of child offenders, 1, 6–7, 8, 9, 12, 14, 15, 20, 22, 25, 28  
 federal death penalty, exemptions for child offenders, 5, 6  
 legislation prohibiting death penalty, for child offenders, 3, 4, 5, 27  
 legislation reinstating death penalty, for child offenders, 3, 4  
 maturation processes, 13  
 minimum age requirements, 12–13  
 national consensus  
     federal exemptions of child offenders, 5–6  
     legislation prohibiting juvenile death penalty, 3–4, 23

### INDEX FOUR

brain development, youth, 14  
 adolescents. *See* child offenders  
 Amnesty International position, on execution of juveniles, 2, 4, 30

## Comparisons pg 2

### Age, Maturity, and Development

INDEX FOUR (continued)

*Atkins v Virginia*, on outlawing child offender executions, 8

brain development, youth, 14

child offenders

abusive backgrounds of, 15, 18, 20, 21, 22  
and *amicus curiae* briefs, 9, 24  
and consensus on death penalty for, 1, 4, 5, 6, 9, 12

demeanor of, 21, 22

international instruments, 25

and mental impairment, 12, 13, 18, 20, 21, 29

numbers on death row, 2, 7, 10

peer pressure and influence on, 13, 29

states allowing execution of, 7, 8, 10, 11, 27, 30

states exempting from death penalty, 6, 8

Supreme Court rulings on death penalty for, 1, 2, 3

and wrongful execution, 18

Child Protective Services, 15

children, characteristics of, 13, 14

death penalty, international minimum ages for, 14. *See also* child offenders; death row; executions;

legislation; mentally retarded

execution, of child offenders, 7, 9, 10, 11, 16, 29

IQ level, and child offenders, 7, 13, 22, 29

juveniles. *See* child offenders; children

legislation

and age eligibility for death penalty, 5, 30

prohibiting execution of juveniles, 4, 5, 6, 7

minors. *See* child offenders; children

national consensus, and execution of child offenders, 1, 4, 5, 6, 9, 30

young offenders. *See* child offenders

### INDEX SIX

cognitive processing, 11, 13

immaturity, 12

international consensus against the death penalty  
for child offenders, 0–1, 23–27

minimum age for death penalty eligibility, 13

minimum age for death penalty eligibility worldwide, 13

mitigating factor, youthfulness as, 18–20

national consensus against execution of child  
offenders, 5–9

youthfulness as mitigating factor, 18–20

*Stanford v Kentucky*, on immaturity of juveniles, 12–13

states that exempt child offenders from death  
penalty, 3–5

unusualness of execution for child offenders, 8

witnesses, child offenders as, 19

### INDEX SEVEN

Death penalty, age requirement, 4

Minimum age

### INDEX EIGHT

Amnesty International, view of child death  
penalty, 1, 3

children. *See* young offenders

death penalty, US Supreme Court rulings, 0 (*see also* specific cases)

and young offenders (18 and under), 0, 1

political pressure to lower age for, 4

death row, young offenders on in US, 1, 7, 9, 10

federal death penalty, and young offenders, 5, 6

international law

*See also* specific treaties

and death penalty for children, 1

*Stanford v Kentucky*, 0, 1, 3

national consensus against executing  
young offenders, 4, 5, 7

United States

and international treaties banning  
execution of children, 0

number of young offenders executed, 1, 7, 8

young offenders on death row, 1, 7, 9, 10

young offenders

culpability of, 1

and death penalty as deterrent, 10

on death row in US, 1, 7, 9, 10

executions of

internationally, 0, 1

in US, 0, 1, 6, 8, 9, 10 (*see also* specific  
states)

and federal death penalty, 5, 6

groups and organizations against  
execution of, 8

national consensus against executing, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8

political pressure to impose death  
penalty, 4

and *Stanford* dissenting opinion, 10, 11

states exempting y.o. from death  
penalty, 2, 3, 4, 5

states that are abolitionist in practice for  
y.o., 6, 7

states that retain death penalty for y.o.,  
1, 6, 7, 9, 10

wrongful execution, 1

### INDEX NINE

juvenile offenders

age as mitigating factor for, 18–19

## Age, Maturity, and Development

### INDEX NINE (continued)

juvenile offenders (continued)

- age dividing line for, 13
- characteristics of, 12
- commonality with mentally retarded offenders, 11-12
- confessions of, 21-22
- contradiction of death penalty legislation, 12-13
- examples of, 14-15, 18-19, 20-22, 28
- immaturity of, 12-13
- scientific studies involving, 13
- as witnesses, 17, 19-21
- wrongful execution and, 17-18

### INDEX TEN

- adulthood, responsibilities of, 12
- brain development, 13
- eligibility for death penalty, age of, 5, 29
- executions, age limit on attendance, 13
- jurisdictions,
  - allowing executions of child offenders, 6-7
  - executing child offenders, 8, 9-10, 24, 25
  - exempting child offenders from reintroduced death penalty, 5, 6
  - with minimum age for death penalty, 13
  - prohibiting executions of child offenders, 3
- jurors, age requirement for, 13
- mental retardation, compounding youthful immaturity, 17
- national consensus, on executions of child offenders, 0, 29
- voting age, 12
- witnesses, youthful defendants' quality as, 16, 17, 19-21
- youth, sentencing factor, 18-19

### INDEX ELEVEN

- abusive backgrounds of child offenders, 14-15, 19-21
- brain development in youth, 1, 13
- child advocate organizations, positions of several, 8
- consensus, national, against executing child offenders, 1, 5, 7, *intro page*

- Convention on the Rights of the Child, 11, 24-28, *intro page*
- countries that execute child offenders, 24-25
- courtroom behavior of child offenders, 19-21
- culpability, child offenders, 12, 14, 15
- death row, child offenders on, 9
- executions, numbers of child offender, 1, 8-9
- followers, child offenders and mentally retarded as, 12-13
- immaturity of child offenders, 12-13
- international minimum ages for death penalty, 13, 23-28
- juveniles, recognized immaturity of, 12-13
- minimum ages for death penalty, international, 13, 23-28
- poverty in backgrounds of child offenders, 14-15, 19-21
- societal responsibility for crimes of child offenders, 14-15
- state legislation, child offenders, prohibiting execution of, 2-4, 3
- states executing most child offenders, 9
- treaties addressing execution of child offenders, 23-28, *intro page*
- witnesses, child offenders as poor, 17, 19-21

### INDEX TWELVE

- death penalty use in US, children and mental retardation compared, 3-4
- death row (US), number of children on, 2-3
- goals of capital punishment,
  - effectiveness with children, 3-4
- rehabilitation, ability of children for, 3

### INDEX THIRTEEN

- Amnesty International, children/executions, 1
- child offenders, *see under 18 year olds*
- death penalty, child offenders, 1
- mental retardation, similarities to children, 1, 6, 11, 12
- similarities between the mentally impaired and children, 11, 12
- under 18 year olds
  - characteristics, 1
  - child offenders, 6
  - on death row, 9
  - execution internationally, 23
  - execution of, 4
  - immaturity of, 12
  - legal representation, lack of, 18
  - similarities to mentally impaired, 12
  - Stanford v Kentucky*, 5
  - states legislature of, 3
  - vulnerability within the system, 16, 17

## Comparisons pg 4

### Age, Maturity, and Development

#### INDEX THIRTEEN (continued)

United States Supreme Court, ruling on age, 18

#### INDEX FOURTEEN

children. *See* minors

death penalty for minors, 1

    constitutionality of, 3, 8–9, 10–11, 28–29

    federal (U.S.), 2, 5–6

    geographical bias of, 9–10, 27

    international consensus on, 1, 27–28, 29

    national consensus on, 2–10, 29

    opposition to, 8, 14

    treaties on, 24–27

    U.S. states and, 3–4, 10, 27

    worldwide, 13, 24–25

executions of minors, 1

    rareness of, 6–7

    in Texas and Virginia, 9–10, 17, 28

    in United States, 8–9, 12, 14, 15, 20, 25  
    wrongful, 17–18

    youth as mitigating factor in, 18–19

mentally retarded criminals, minors as, 12, 17  
minors, 12–13

    abuse of, 14, 17, 19–20

    as criminals, 5–6, 14

    defining, 13, 27

    difference from adults, 12–13

    diminished capacity in, 1, 11–13, 19–20

    false confessions by, 17, 21–22

    lack of remorse in, 20–21

    with mentally disabilities, 12, 17, 28

    society and, 13–15

    as witnesses, 16–17, 19–21, 22

trials

    minors in, 16–17

    youth as mitigating factor in, 18–19

witnesses, minors as, 16–17

---

## Mental impairment

#### INDEX ONE

mentally retarded

*Atkins v Virginia* on, 11, 16–17

    compared to juveniles, 11–12

    consensus against execution, 7, 8–9

    execution of, 6, 10

    legislation prohibiting execution, 2–4

#### INDEX TWO

*Atkins v Virginia*, on execution of mentally  
retarded offenders, 2, 8, 28–29

culpability, of mentally retarded offenders, 11

mentally retarded offenders

    culpability of, 11

    on death row, 9

    geographic concentration of executions,  
    9–10

    and national consensus, 22–23

    position of organizations on, 7–8

    prohibition of capital punishment against,  
    2

    and retribution and deterrence, 15–16

    risk of wrongful execution, 16–18

mitigating factors, mental retardation as, 16–17

    national consensus, on execution of mentally  
    retarded offenders, 8, 22–23

#### INDEX THREE

*Atkins v. Virginia*

    culpability of mentally impaired, 11, 15

    legislation exempting mentally challenged, 4

executions, of mentally challenged, 2, 8, 9

federal death penalty, exemptions for mentally  
challenged offenders, 2

legislation prohibiting death penalty, for mentally  
challenged offenders, 2, 3, 5

legislation reinstating death penalty, for mentally  
challenged, 4, 23

mentally challenged offenders

*See also Atkins v. Virginia*

    constitutionality of death penalty for, 0, 1, 11

    diminished culpability, 11

    executions of, 6, 8, 9

    international laws prohibiting death penalty  
    for, 0, 23, 27–28

    legislation permitting death penalty for, 6, 9

    legislation prohibiting death penalty for, 2, 3,  
    5

    oppositions to death penalty for, 7, 11

    penological goals of death penalty, 15–16

    risks of wrongful executions, 16–17

    Texas disproportionality, 10

oppositions to death penalty for mentally challenged  
offenders, 7, 11

## **Mental impairment**

### INDEX THREE (continued)

reinstatement of death penalty, for mentally challenged, 4, 23  
United Nations resolutions prohibiting death penalty for mentally challenged, 0

### INDEX FOUR

Amnesty International position, on execution of mentally retarded, 4  
*Atkins v Virginia*, on exemption of mentally retarded offenders, 2, 3, 8, 9, 12, 16, 17, 18, 19, 28, 29, 30  
capital punishment. *See* death row; executions; mentally retarded; young offenders  
child offenders, and mental impairment, 12, 13, 18, 20, 21, 29  
death row, numbers of mentally retarded on, 10, 11  
execution, of mentally retarded, 2, 10, 11  
IQ level, and mentally retarded prisoners, 3, 9  
legislation  
    prohibiting execution of mentally retarded, 3, 4, 5, 8  
mentally retarded  
    characteristics of, 12  
    and consensus against death penalty for, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 12, 23  
    and death penalty assumptions, 16, 17  
    death penalty for, 1, 2, 7, 11, 29  
    as defendants, 17  
    and mitigation, 17, 18  
    numbers on death row, 10, 11  
    states allowing death penalty for, 9  
    states exempting from death penalty, 6  
national consensus, and execution of mentally retarded, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 12

### INDEX SIX

mentally retarded offenders  
    culpability standards, 11–12  
    national consensus against execution of, 2, 4, 7  
    wrongful execution, 16–17  
    national consensus against execution of mentally retarded offenders, 2–5, 7–8

### INDEX SEVEN

Death penalty, and mental retardation  
Mental retardation, 4, 8

### INDEX EIGHT

death penalty, and mentally retarded, 0, 1, 2, 3, 7, 9  
death row, mentally retarded offenders on in US, 9, 10  
mentally retarded offenders  
    *See also* *Penry v Lynaugh*, *Atkins v Virginia* and culpability, 1, 2

mentally retarded offenders (*continued*)  
    and death penalty, 0, 1, 4  
    on death row in US, 9, 10  
    executions of, 6, 8, 9, 10  
    groups and organizations against execution of, 7  
    political pressure to impose death penalty, 4  
    states exempting from death penalty, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6  
    states subjecting to death penalty, 2, 6, 9, 10

### INDEX NINE

juvenile death penalty, mental retardation consideration similarities, 1  
juvenile offenders, commonality with mentally retarded offenders, 11–12  
mental retardation  
    culpability and, 11–12  
    national consensus measurement and, 7  
    penological goals and, 15  
    state laws and, 4

### INDEX TEN

competence to stand trial, 11, 12  
jurisdictions, prohibiting executions of mentally retarded offenders, 2, 3  
mental retardation, 0  
compounding youthful immaturity, 17  
national consensus, on executions of offenders with mental retardation, 0, 2, 6, 22, 29

### INDEX ELEVEN

competency to stand trial, 11–12  
consensus, national, against executing mentally retarded, 1, 2, 6, 8, *intro page*  
culpability, mentally retarded, 2, 4, 11, 15  
followers, child offenders and mentally retarded as, 12–13  
mental health associations, positions of several, 8  
state legislation, mentally retarded, prohibiting execution of, 2, 3  
witnesses, mentally retarded as poor, 16–17

### INDEX TWELVE

death penalty use in US, children and mental retardation compared, 3–4  
death row (US), number of people with mental retardation on, 4  
goals of capital punishment  
effectiveness with mentally retarded,

## Comparisons pg 6

### **Mental impairment**

INDEX TWELVE (continued)

mental retardation  
in American law, 1-5  
and prohibition of death penalty,  
9-10  
in South African law, 7

#### INDEX THIRTEEN

death penalty, mental retardation, 3  
executions, mentally retarded, 1, 3  
mental retardation  
*Atkins v Virginia*, 6  
death penalty and, 3  
execution internationally, 23  
legal representation, lack of, 18  
similarities to children, 1, 6, 11, 12  
states legislature and, 3  
vulnerability within the system, 16

similarities between the mentally impaired  
and children, 11, 12  
under 18 year olds, similarities to mentally  
impaired, 12

#### INDEX FOURTEEN

death penalty for mentally retarded, 1. *See also*  
*Atkins v Virginia*  
international views of, 27-28  
national consensus on, 7-8, 22-23  
as unconstitutional, 2-3, 8-9, 28-29  
U.S. states and, 2-3, 9, 10  
executions of mentally retarded, 6, 8-9, 16-18, 28  
mentally retarded criminals  
diminished capacity of, 11-12, 16-17  
minors as, 12, 17  
minors, with mentally disabilities, 12, 17, 28  
United States, mentally retarded in, 1, 5

---

## **Culpability of offenders**

#### INDEX TWO

*Atkins v Virginia*, on culpability, 4, 11-12  
child offenders, culpability of, 12, 14-15  
culpability  
of child offenders, 12, 14-15  
of mentally retarded offenders, 11  
mentally retarded offenders, culpability of, 11  
*Stanford v Kentucky*, on culpability of youth,  
12, 14-15

#### INDEX THREE

*Atkins v. Virginia*, culpability of mentally impaired,  
11, 15  
child offenders, diminished culpability, 11, 12  
culpability assumption in death penalty, 11-12, 14  
mentally challenged offenders, diminished  
culpability, 11

#### INDEX SIX

*Atkins v Virginia*, culpability standards, 11-12  
culpability standards, 11, 14  
mentally retarded offenders, culpability standards,  
11-12

#### INDEX EIGHT

mentally retarded offenders, and culpability, 1,  
2  
young offenders, culpability of, 1

#### INDEX NINE

*Atkins v Virginia*, culpability conclusion in, 11  
mental retardation, culpability and, 11-12

#### INDEX TEN

*Atkins v Virginia*, culpability, 1, 11, 15  
culpability, 1, 11, 12-13, 14, 15  
responsibility. *see* culpability  
*Stanford v Kentucky*, culpability, 12-13, 14

#### INDEX ELEVEN

*Atkins v Virginia*, culpability, 4, 11, 15  
culpability  
child offenders, 12, 14, 15  
mentally retarded, 2, 4, 11, 15  
firearms, ease in obtaining. *see also* culpability,,  
15  
responsibility. *see* culpability

## Abolition and Abolitionist states in the U.S.

### INDEX THREE

abolition of death penalty  
     *See also* legislation prohibiting death penalty; non-death penalty states internationally, 13, 25, 28  
     proposed, in New Hampshire, 7  
 abolitionist jurisdictions. *See* non-death penalty states  
 non-death penalty states, 4–5

### INDEX FOUR

abolition, of juvenile death penalty, 28, 29  
 abolitionist jurisdictions, 5, 6  
 death penalty, abolition of, 8  
 national consensus, and abolitionist states, 5, 6, 8

### INDEX SIX

abolitionist jurisdictions, 4  
 non-death penalty states, 4

### INDEX EIGHT

death penalty  
     and abolitionist states, 4, 5, 7  
     retentionist states, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10  
     states that are abolitionist in practice, 2, 5, 6, 7  
 United States  
     abolitionist states, 4, 5  
     retentionist states, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7

young offenders, states that are abolitionist in practice for y.o., 6, 7  
 states that retain death penalty for y.o., 1, 6, 7, 9, 10

### INDEX TEN

abolitionist jurisdictions, 4  
 jurisdictions, abolitionist, 4  
*Stanford v Kentucky*, abolitionist jurisdictions, 4

### INDEX ELEVEN

abolitionist states, 4–5  
 state legislation  
     all executions, prohibiting, 4–5  
     child offenders, prohibiting execution of, 2–4, 3  
     mentally retarded, prohibiting execution of, 2, 3

### INDEX TWELVE

mental retardation, and prohibition of death penalty, 9–10

### INDEX FOURTEEN

United States  
     abolitionist states in, 4–5, 7, 27  
     retentionist states in, 1, 6–7

---

## U.S. Constitution

### INDEX TWO

*Gregg v Georgia*, constitutionality of new laws, 3  
 legislation, constitutionality of new laws, 3

### INDEX THREE

Constitution Project reform recommendations, 11  
 constitutionality of death penalty, factors in determining. *See* national consensus  
 cruel and unusual punishment, 0. *See also* national consensus  
 Eighth Amendment, 0. *See also* national consensus  
 international laws prohibiting juvenile death penalty, relevance in determining constitutionality, 23  
 mentally challenged offenders, constitutionality of death penalty for, 0, 1, 11

### INDEX FOUR

"cruel and unusual punishment," 1, 2  
 Eighth Amendment, 1, 2, 11

### INDEX SEVEN

Constitution, 3  
 Constitution Project, 11

### INDEX EIGHT

cruel and unusual punishment. *See* Eighth Amendment  
 Eighth Amendment, 0, 10  
 United States Supreme Court, definition of "cruel and unusual" punishment, 0

### INDEX NINE

Constitution Project, 11  
 Convention on the Rights of the Child, national constitutional constraints and, 24–28  
 cruel and unusual punishment, 1  
 Eighth Amendment, 1  
 national consensus, Constitution Project conclusions and, 11

## Comparisons pg 8

### U.S. Constitution

#### INDEX TEN

*Atkins v Virginia*, Eighth Amendment citations, 1, 2, 6, 8, 11  
Constitution Project, 11  
cruel and unusual punishment, 0, 1, 10, 11  
Eighth Amendment, 0, 10  
United States Constitution, Eighth Amendment, 0, 1, 10, 11

#### INDEX ELEVEN

Constitution Project, 11  
cruel and unusual punishment, evolving definition, 1, 29, *intro page*  
Eighth Amendment, 1, 10–11, *intro page*  
reforms, recommended, Constitution Project, 11

#### INDEX TWELVE

"cruel and unusual"  
ban on, 1  
definition of, 2  
failed executions, 5–6  
Eighth Amendment (US constitution)  
ban on "cruel and unusual"  
punishment, 1  
definition of "cruel and unusual," 2

#### INDEX THIRTEEN

Eight Amendment, 1, 10

#### INDEX FOURTEEN

death penalty for mentally retarded, as unconstitutional, 2–3, 8–9, 28–29  
death penalty for minors, constitutionality of, 3, 8–9, 10–11, 28–29

---

---

## Legislation, Treaties, Charters (Excluding full entries for: *Atkins* and *Stanford*)

#### INDEX ONE

legislation  
prohibiting execution of juveniles, 5–7  
prohibiting execution of mentally retarded, 2–4

#### INDEX TWO

*Atkins v Virginia*, on popularity of legislation, 2  
child offenders, exemption in legislation, 5  
death penalty, overturning legislation, 3  
federal legislation, 5–6  
*Gregg v Georgia*, constitutionality of new laws, 3  
international law, 23–27  
legislation  
constitutionality of new laws, 3  
federal, 5–6  
politics of, 4  
state juvenile exclusion, 3–4

#### INDEX THREE

African Charter on the Rights and Welfare of the Child, 24, 27  
Anti-Drug Abuse Act, 5  
*Atkins v. Virginia*, legislation exempting mentally challenged, 4  
Committee on the Rights of the Child, 24, 25

Constitution Project reform recommendations, 11  
constitutionality of death penalty, factors in determining. *See* national consensus  
Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC), 0, 24, 27, 28  
Federal Death Penalty Act, 5  
Geneva Conventions, 26, 27  
Inter-American Commission on Human Rights, 27  
International Commission of Jurists (ICJ), 23  
International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), 0, 23, 24, 25–26, 27  
international laws prohibiting juvenile death penalty  
effect on domestic laws, 24, 25  
non-derogability, 24, 26–27  
relevance in determining constitutionality, 23  
US reservations to ICCPR, 25–27  
international standards of legal representation, 18  
international standards of legal representation, 18  
Islamic law and juvenile death penalty, 24–25  
legislation prohibiting death penalty  
*See also* international laws prohibiting juvenile death penalty  
for child offenders, 3, 4, 5, 27  
for mentally challenged offenders, 2, 3, 5  
legislation reinstating death penalty  
for child offenders, 3, 4  
for mentally challenged, 4, 23  
mentally challenged offenders  
*See also Atkins v. Virginia*

**Legislation, Treaties, Charters**

**INDEX THREE**

mentally challenged offenders (*continued*)  
 international laws prohibiting death penalty for, 0, 23, 27–28  
 legislation permitting death penalty for, 6, 9  
 legislation prohibiting death penalty for, 2, 3, 5  
 national consensus  
 legislation prohibiting juvenile death penalty, 3–4, 23  
 ratification of international treaties, 23  
*Stanford v. Kentucky*, legislative environment, 3  
 UN Safeguards Guaranteeing Protection of the Rights of Those Facing the Death Penalty, 26–27, 28  
 UN Sub-Commission on the Promotion and Protection of Human Rights, 25–26  
 United Nations resolutions prohibiting death penalty for mentally challenged, 0  
 Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, 26

**INDEX FOUR**

African Charter on the Rights and Welfare of the Child, 25  
 American Convention on Human Rights, 25, 27  
*Anti-Drug Abuse Act*, 6  
 Committee on the Rights of the Child, 26  
 Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC), 1, 25, 26, 28, 29  
*Federal Death Penalty Act*, 6  
 Geneva Conventions, 27  
 Human Rights Committee, 27, 28  
 Inter-American Commission on Human Rights, 28  
 International Commission of Jurists (ICJ), 24  
 International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR),  
 1, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28  
 international treaty law, 26, 27, 30  
 legislation  
 and age eligibility for death penalty, 5, 30  
 prohibiting execution of juveniles, 4, 5, 6, 7  
 prohibiting execution of mentally retarded, 3, 4, 5, 8  
 US government, and ratification of ICCPR, 26, 27  
 Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, 27

**INDEX SIX**

African Charter on the Rights and Welfare of the Child, 24  
 American Convention on Human Rights, 24, 26  
 American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man, 27  
*Anti-Drug Abuse Act*, 5  
*Federal Death Penalty Act*, 5–6  
 Geneva Conventions, 25–26  
 Inter-American Commission on Human Rights, 27  
 International Commission of Jurists, 23

International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), 23, 25–26  
 Islamic law, 24–25

**INDEX SEVEN**

*Anti-Drug Abuse Act*, 6  
 Convention on the Rights of the Child, 1, 24–25  
*Federal Death Penalty Act*, 5–6  
*Furman v Georgia*, 3, 5, 6  
*Gregg v Georgia*, 3  
 International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights,  
*Penry v Lynaugh*, 1, 2

**INDEX EIGHT**

*Anti-Drug Abuse Act*, 5. *See also* federal death penalty  
 Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC), 0  
 International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), 0  
 international law  
*See also* specific treaties  
 and death penalty for children, 1  
 United States Supreme Court  
 landmark death penalty rulings, 0  
 and international treaties banning execution of children, 0

**INDEX NINE**

African Charter on the Rights and Welfare of the Child, 24, 27  
 American Convention on Human Rights, 24, 26–27  
 American Declaration of Rights and Duties of Man, 27  
*Anti-Drug Abuse Act*, 5  
 Convention on the Rights of the Child  
 implementation of provisions of, 24–25  
 juvenile death penalty provision of, 1, 24  
 national constitutional constraints and, 24–28  
 participating nations, 1  
 ratification numbers for, 28  
 regional reinforcement of, 24  
*Federal Death Penalty Act*, 5–6  
 Geneva Conventions, 26, 27  
 ICJ (International Commission of Jurists), 23  
 Inter-American Commission on Human Rights, 27  
 International Commission of Jurists (ICJ), 23

## Comparisons pg 10

### Legislation, Treaties, Charters

#### INDEX NINE (continued)

#### International Covenant on Civil and Political

##### Rights

- armed conflict and, 26
- exceptions to, 27
- juvenile death penalty provision of, 1, 25
- number of participatory nations, 27
- regional reinforcement of, 24
- U.S. ratification of, 23
- U.S. ratification reservations, 25–26

#### international law, 23

#### international treaties and organizations, 1, 24–28

#### juvenile death penalty

##### Convention on the Rights of the Child

and, 1, 24

federal death penalty legislation and, 5–6

##### International Covenant on Civil and

Political Rights and, 24, 25

international law standards and, 1, 13

state legislation history addressing, 3–5

#### mental retardation, state laws and, 4

#### national consensus, Federal Death Penalty Act and, 5

#### treaties. *See* international treaties and organizations

#### Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, 26

#### INDEX TEN

#### African Charter on the Rights and Welfare of the Child, 24, 27

#### American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man, 27

#### Anti-Drug Abuse Act, 5

article 6(5) (ICCPR), 25, 26, 27

article 37 (CRC), 24

customary international law, 24, 25

Fourth Geneva Convention, 26

Geneva Conventions, 26, 27

Human Rights Committee, 25–26, 27

International Commission of Jurists (ICJ), 23

International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), 0, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27

international law, 1, 29. customary, 24, 25

#### jurisdictions

- amending laws to meet treaty obligations, 24
- ratifying CRC, 27
- ratifying ICCPR, 25

#### jurisdictions (continued)

with reservations to CRC, 25

legislative trends, 2, 4, 23

national consensus, legislative trends and, 2, 4, 23

ratifications of treaties, 23, 25, 27

reservations to treaties, 24–25, 26

*Ring v Arizona*, 10

Supreme Court,

*see also Atkins v Virginia; Penry v Lynaugh; Stanford v Kentucky*

arbitrariness of death penalty

application, 3

dignity of man, 0

mitigating factors, 18, 19–20

United Nations, Safeguards, 26–27, 28

United States, *see also* Supreme Court

Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, 26

#### INDEX ELEVEN

#### American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man, 27

#### Anti-Drug Abuse Act, 5

#### Convention on the Rights of the Child, 11, 24–28, *intro page*

Geneva Convention, Fourth, 26

Human Rights Committee, 26, 27

#### International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, 23, 25–27, *intro page*

Islamic law, allowable reservations to treaties, 24–25

laws, changing to meet treaty obligations, 24–28

reservations countries make to treaties, 24–28

#### state legislation

all executions, prohibiting, 4–5

child offenders, prohibiting execution of, 2–4, 3

mentally retarded, prohibiting execution of, 2, 3

reintroducing the death penalty, 4, 5

treaties addressing execution of child offenders, 23–28, *intro page*

#### Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, 26

#### INDEX TWELVE

#### Convention on the Rights of a Child (1989), 1–2

International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, American reservation, 4–5

#### mental retardation

in American law, 1–5

in South African law, 7

United Nations Declaration on the Protection of All Persons Against Disappearances, 6

**Legislation, Treaties, Charters**

**INDEX THIRTEEN**

American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man, 27  
 Anti Drug Abuse Act, 5  
 Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC), 24, 28  
 death penalty, AntiDrug Abuse Act, 5  
 Fourth Geneva Convention, 26  
 International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), 23, 24, 25, 26, 27  
 mental retardation, states legislature and, 3

politics of legislation, 2, 4  
 under 18 year olds, states legislature of, 3  
 United Nations Safeguards of Those Facing the Death Penalty, 26, 27, 28  
 Vienna Convention, 26

**INDEX FOURTEEN**

*Atkins v Virginia*, 1, 6, 10, 28–29  
 majority opinion on, 1, 2, 4, 11, 27  
*Ring v Arizona*, 10  
*Stanford v Kentucky*, 1, 4, 5, 8, 22–23, 29

**Lawsuits & Trials**

**INDEX ONE**

errors in convictions and trials, 17–19, 20  
 eye-witness testimony, 17–18  
 false confessions, 21–22, on death penalty for juveniles, 3  
 juvenile cases, 12, 14–15, 20–22, 28  
 persons under 18. *See* juvenile cases  
 Supreme Court rulings  
     in 1958, i  
     in 1982, 19–20. *See also Atkins v Virginia; Penry v Lynaugh; Stanford v Kentucky*  
 Texas, trials, 21–22, 28  
 trials  
     errors in, 17–19, 20  
     juveniles mistakes in, 20–22, 28

remorsefulness, lack of, 20–21  
*Ring v. Arizona*, 10  
*Stanford v. Kentucky*  
     dissenting opinion, 10, 12, 13, 14  
     federal exemption for child offenders, 5  
     legislative environment, 3  
     non-death penalty states, 4  
     oppositions filed, 8  
     ruling in, 0, 1

**INDEX FOUR**

*Atkins v Virginia*  
     on national consensus, 5, 7, 8, 9, 23  
     on exemption of mentally retarded offenders, 2, 3, 8, 9, 12, 16, 17, 18, 19, 28, 29, 30  
     on outlawing child offender executions, 8  
 child offenders, Supreme Court rulings on death penalty for, 1, 2, 3  
 confessions, false, 22, 23  
 Court of Appeals, federal, 20  
 defense lawyers, 19, 21  
*Furman v Georgia*, 4  
*Gregg v Georgia*, 4  
 interrogation, 22  
 jurors, 14  
 legal representation, 19  
 mentally retarded, as defendants, 17  
*Penry v Lynaugh*, 1, 2, 3, 17, 18  
 police, 19, 22, 23  
*Stanford v Kentucky*, 1, 2, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 23, 30,  
     dissenters' position, 5, 6, 13, 15, 24  
 Supreme Court rulings  
     *Atkins v Virginia*, 7, 9, 29, 30  
     *Furman v Georgia*, 4  
     *Stanford v Kentucky*, 9  
     for Washington State, 4  
 testimony, 18, 23  
 US Supreme Court. *See* Supreme Court  
 witnesses, 17, 18, 19, 20  
 wrongful convictions, 19

**INDEX TWO**

child offenders, and false confessions, 21–22

**INDEX THREE**

*Atkins v. Virginia*  
     culpability of mentally impaired, 11, 15  
     deterrence, 15, 16  
     international standards, 27  
     judicial evaluation of national consensus, 22–23  
     legislation exempting mentally challenged, 4  
     rarity of use of death penalty, 2, 6, 8  
     risks of wrongful executions, 16–17  
     ruling in, 1  
     societal oppositions, 7, 8  
 coercion in interrogation, 21–22  
 false confessions, 16, 17, 21–22  
 false testimony of children, 22  
*Furman v. Georgia*, 3  
 interrogation techniques, 21–22  
 legal representation, inadequacies, 17, 18  
*Penry v. Lynaugh*, 0, 2  
 police misconduct, 17, 18  
 prosecutorial misconduct, 17, 18

## Comparisons pg 12

### Lawsuits & Trials

#### INDEX SIX

false confessions, 21–22  
innocent defendants, 17  
lack of remorse, appearance of, 20–21  
"Prohibiting Execution in Cases Involving  
Questionable Categories of Defendants and  
Homicides" (Constitution Project), 11  
religious organizations involvement in case, 8  
remorse, appearance of, 20–21  
witnesses, child offenders as, 19

#### INDEX SEVEN

False confession, 21–22

#### INDEX EIGHT

*Atkins v Virginia*, 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 8  
death penalty  
    overturned in *Furman v Georgia*, 3  
    US Supreme Court rulings, 0 (*see also*  
    specific cases)  
        and young offenders (18 and under),  
        0, 1  
        geographical concentration of, 9, 10  
        groups opposed to, 8  
        political pressure to lower age for, 4  
        states exempting, 3, 5, 6

*Furman v Georgia*, 3, 5

*Gregg v Georgia*, 3

*Penry v Lynaugh*, 0, 1, 2

overturned by *Atkins v Virginia*, 1

*Ring v Arizona*, 10

*Stanford v Kentucky*, 0, 1, 3

    dissenting argument, 4, 5, 10  
    national consensus against executing  
    young offenders, 4, 5, 7  
    and religious organizations, 8

United States Supreme Court

*See also* specific cases

    definition of "cruel and unusual"  
    punishment, 0

    and evolving standards of decency,  
    0, 1, 6, 10

    and international standards, 0, 1

    landmark death penalty rulings, 0

young offenders, and *Stanford* dissenting  
opinion, 10, 11

#### INDEX NINE

*Atkins v Virginia*

    background for, 2, 2–3

    content of, 1

    culpability conclusion in, 11

    dissenting arguments in, 22–23

*Atkins v Virginia* (*continued*)

    majority comments on international  
    standards, 27–28

    national consensus and, 2, 22

    penological goals and, 15–16

confessions, 16–17, 21–22

false confessions, 16–17, 21–22

*Furman v Georgia*, 3

*Georgia, Furman v. See Furman v Georgia*

*Georgia, Gregg v. See Gregg v Georgia*

*Gregg v Georgia*, 3

international law, 23

juvenile death penalty

    examples of actual application of, 14–15

    international implementation of, 25

    international law standards and, 1, 13

juvenile offenders

    confessions of, 21–22

    as witnesses, 17, 19–21

mental retardation, state laws and, 4

national consensus, Supreme Court

    interpretation of, 1, 2–3

*Stanford v Kentucky*

    dissenting arguments in, 10–11, 14

    international standards and, 29

    as rejection of international law, 1

    religious organizations against, 8

Supreme Court. *See* U.S. Supreme Court

U.S. Supreme Court

    death penalty cases of, 1989, 1–2

    international standards and, 1

    national consensus issue and, 2, 3, 5

    pro-death penalty justices, 22–23

*see also* names of specific cases

*Penry v*

*Lynaugh*, content of, 1–2

*Virginia, Atkins v. See Atkins v Virginia*

#### INDEX TEN

*Arizona, Ring v*, 10

assistance to counsel. *See under* defense  
counsel

commutation of death sentences, 25

competence to stand trial, 11, 12

confessions, false, 16, 17, 21–22

convictions, wrongful, 17

defense counsel,

    competence of, 18

    dismissal of, 20

**Lawsuits & Trials**

INDEX TEN

defense counsel (*continued*)  
     quality of defendants' assistance to, 16, 17, 18, 20  
     right to, 21  
 dismissal of counsel, 20  
 emotionless appearance, 20, 21  
 evidence, suppression of, 18  
 expert testimony, 18  
 eye-witness inaccuracy, 17  
*Furman v Georgia*, 3  
*Georgia, Furman v*, 3  
*Georgia, Gregg v*, 3  
*Gregg v Georgia*, 3  
 interrogation practices, 21, 22  
 jurors  
     age requirement for, 13  
     remorselessness perceived by, 20  
*Kentucky, Stanford v*. See *Stanford v Kentucky*  
 lawyers. See defense counsel; prosecution  
*Lynaugh, Penry v*. See *Penry v Lynaugh*  
 military courts, 25  
*Penry v Lynaugh*,  
     *Atkins* citation of, 2, 16-17  
     as milestone, 0, 2, 8  
     overturned, 1, 28  
 police, interrogation practices, 21, 22  
 prosecutors' arguments, 15, 18, 19, 20  
 racism, 21  
 remorse, appearances of, 16, 20  
 right to counsel, 21  
*Ring v Arizona*, 10  
 sentencing, 10, 20  
*Stanford v Kentucky*,  
     abolitionist jurisdictions, 4  
     amicus curiae briefs, 8  
     culpability, 12-13, 14  
     decency, standards of, 0, 10  
     desirability of overturning, 0, 1, 28  
     as milestone, 0, 3, 23  
 suppression of evidence, 18  
 Supreme Court,  
     see also *Atkins v Virginia*; *Penry v Lynaugh*; *Stanford v Kentucky*  
     arbitrariness of death penalty  
         application, 3  
     dignity of man, 0  
     mitigating factors, 18, 19-20  
 United States, see also Supreme Court  
*Virginia, Atkins v*. See *Atkins v Virginia*  
 witnesses,  
     coerced, 20  
     inaccurate, 17

witnesses (*continued*)  
     youthful defendants' quality as, 16, 17, 19-21  
 wrongful conviction, 17-18  
 youth, sentencing factor, 18-19

INDEX ELEVEN

*Atkins v Virginia*  
     consensus, national, 2, 6, 7, 8  
     culpability, 4, 11, 15  
     decision result, 1, 22, 28-29  
     deterrence, 15-16  
     dissenting justices, 22-23  
     wrongful execution, risk of, 16-17, 18  
 competency to stand trial, 11-12  
 confessions, false, 17, 21-22  
 courtroom behavior of child offenders, 19-21  
 eyewitness testimony, 17-18  
 false confessions, 17, 21-22  
 false testimony, 22  
*Furman v Georgia*, 3, 5  
*Gregg v Georgia*, 3  
 mitigating factors, poorly demonstrated in trial, 16-19  
*Penry v Lynaugh*, 1, 2, 16, *intro page*  
 punishment, disproportionate, 15-16  
 remorse, apparent lack of, 18, 20-21  
*Ring v Arizona*, 10  
*Stanford v Kentucky*  
     call to overturn, 29  
     decision result, 1, *intro page*  
     dissenting justices, 4, 10, 12-14  
     states with more restrictive laws, 3, 5  
 testimony, false, 22  
 threats during interrogation, 21-22  
 witnesses, child offenders as poor, 17, 19-21  
 witnesses, mentally retarded as poor, 16-17

INDEX TWELVE

*Atkins v Virginia*, 2-4, 9-11  
*Furman v Georgia*, 3  
*Gregg v Georgia*, 3  
*Penry v Lynaugh*, 1-2, 9  
 race, African Americans and all-white juries, 7  
*Wilkins v Missouri*, 1n4  
 witnesses to executions, 5-6

INDEX THIRTEEN

*Atkins* majority  
     deterrence, 15  
     international consensus, 27  
     national consensus, 4, 22  
     text of, 2, 11, 16  
     wrongful execution, 18

## Comparisons pg 14

### Lawsuits & Trials

#### INDEX THIRTEEN (continued)

##### *Atkins v Virginia*

under 18 year olds, 3  
date of, 2  
national consensus, 6  
national organizations, 7  
overturned *Henry v Linaugh*, 1  
standard of decency ruling, 28–29  
supreme court, 4, 6, 8  
text of, 1, 4

false confession, 16, 17, 21, 22

Federal Death Penalty Act (1994), 5–6

##### *Furman v Georgia*

Anti Drug Abuse Act, 5  
context of, 3

##### *Greg v Georgia*, 3

mental retardation, legal representation, lack of, 18

##### *Henry v Linaugh*, 1, 2

justices (Supreme Court), 22, 23

##### *Ring v Arizona*, 10

*Stanford* dissenters, 10, 13, 14

##### *Stanford v Kentucky*

date of, 3  
global standards, 23  
and international UN members, 24  
national consensus, 4  
*Stanford* dissenters, 4, 10, 13, 14  
supreme court, 1, 29  
text of, 5

under 18 year olds

legal representation, lack of, 18  
*Stanford v Kentucky*, 5

United States Supreme Court

*Atkins vs Virginia*, 4, 6, 8

decisions of, 3–4

ruling on age, 18

*Stanford v Kentucky*, 1, 29

writing on profiles, 19–20

United States Supreme Court Justices. see Justices

#### INDEX FOURTEEN

*Atkins v Virginia*, 1, 6, 10, 28–29

majority opinion on, 1, 2, 4, 11, 27

minors, 12–13

false confessions by, 17, 21–22

as witnesses, 16–17, 19–21, 22

*Penry v Lynaugh*, 1, 16–17

*Ring v Arizona*, 10

standards of decency, Supreme Court and, 10–11, 28–29

*Stanford v Kentucky*, 1, 4, 5, 8, 22–23, 29

Supreme Court. See also *Atkins v Virginia*; *Stanford v Kentucky*

and death penalty, 3, 10–11, 16

and international standards, 1

on mitigating factors, 19–20

and *Penry v Lynaugh*, 1, 16–17

and standards of decency, 10–11, 28–29

trials

evidence misuse at, 18

false testimony at, 22

minors in, 16–17

youth as mitigating factor in, 18–19

---

## Wrongful executions

#### INDEX ONE

wrongfully convicted, 17–18

#### INDEX TWO

*Atkins v Virginia*, on risk of wrongful execution, 16–18

child offenders, risk of wrongful execution, 17–18

death penalty, and wrongful convictions, 16–18

execution, risk of wrongful, 16–18

mentally retarded offenders, risk of wrongful execution, 16–18

#### INDEX THREE

*Atkins v Virginia*, risks of wrongful executions, 16–17

child offenders, wrongful convictions, 17

mentally challenged offenders, risks of wrongful executions, 16–17

wrongful convictions

factors leading to, 17–18

reduced capacity a factor in, 16–17

statistical evidence of, 17

#### INDEX FOUR

child offenders, and wrongful execution, 18

wrongful convictions, 19

wrongful execution, 2, 17, 18, 19

#### INDEX SIX

*Atkins v Virginia*, wrongful execution, 16

innocent defendants, 17

mentally retarded offenders, wrongful execution, 16–17

## **Wrongful executions**

### INDEX SIX (continued)

wrongful conviction, 17  
wrongful execution, 16–18

### INDEX SEVEN

Wrongful execution, 16-18

### INDEX EIGHT

wrongful execution, 1  
young offenders, wrongful execution, 1

### INDEX NINE

juvenile death penalty, wrongful execution and,  
16–18  
juvenile offenders, wrongful execution and, 17–  
18  
wrongful execution, 16–18

### INDEX TEN

convictions, wrongful, 17  
executions, wrongful, 1  
wrongful conviction, 17-18  
wrongful execution, risk of, 16-18

### INDEX ELEVEN

*Atkins v Virginia*, wrongful execution, risk of,  
16–17, 18  
errors in capital justice system, 17–18  
wrongful execution, 1, 16–18

### INDEX THIRTEEN

*Atkins* majority, wrongful execution, 18  
executions, "wrongful", 18–19

### INDEX FOURTEEN

executions of minors, wrongful, 17–18

---

---

## **Mitigating factors**

### INDEX TWO

age, as mitigating factor, 18–19  
child offenders, age as mitigating factor, 18–19  
gun possession, 15  
mitigating factors  
    age as, 18–19  
    dysfunctional family life as, 19–20  
    emotional disturbance as, 19–20  
    mental retardation as, 16–17

### INDEX THREE

age, as mitigating factor, 18–19  
child offenders  
    age as mitigating factor, 18–19  
    family background as mitigating factor, 19–  
    20, 21  
family background of child offenders, 19–20, 21  
guns, availability of, 15

### INDEX FOUR

"aggravating" factors, 19, 21  
child offenders, abusive backgrounds of, 15, 18, 20,  
21, 22  
guns, 16  
mitigating factors, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21

### INDEX SIX

abuse, 14, 20  
childhood abuse, 14, 20  
families, failure of, 14  
gun possession, 15

mitigating factor, youthfulness as, 18–20  
youthfulness as mitigating factor, 18–20

### INDEX NINE

juvenile offenders, age as mitigating factor for,  
18–19

### INDEX TEN

abuse,  
    in correctional facilities, 14  
    in family background, 19, 20  
aggravating factors, 16, 18-19  
*Atkins v Virginia*, mitigating factors, 16-17, 18  
child abuse, 14, 19, 20  
dangerousness, 17, 19  
drugs, illicit, 12, 14  
family backgrounds, 19, 20  
guns, 15, 18-19  
mitigating factors, 16-17, 18, 19-20  
peer pressure, 12  
premeditation, 11, 12  
Supreme Court, mitigating factors, 18, 19-20  
youth, sentencing factor, 18-19

### INDEX ELEVEN

abusive backgrounds of child offenders, 14–15,  
19–21  
aggravating factors, 18–19  
firearms, ease in obtaining. *see also* culpability,,  
15  
followers, child offenders and mentally retarded  
as, 12–13

## Comparisons pg 16

### Mitigating factors

#### INDEX ELEVEN (continued)

immaturity of child offenders, 12–13  
juveniles, recognized immaturity of, 12–13  
mitigating factors, poorly demonstrated in trial,  
16–19  
poverty in backgrounds of child offenders, 14–  
15, 19–21

#### INDEX TWELVE

poverty, 7

#### INDEX THIRTEEN

abuse, 20  
under 18 year olds, immaturity of, 12

#### INDEX FOURTEEN

executions of minors, youth as mitigating factor in,  
18–19  
minors, abuse of, 14, 17, 19–20  
Supreme Court. See also *Atkins v Virginia*; *Stanford  
v Kentucky*  
on mitigating factors, 19–20  
trials, youth as mitigating factor in, 18–19

---

## States within the U.S.

#### INDEX ONE

Alabama, 10, 21  
Arizona, 7, 20  
Arkansas, 6  
*Atkins v Virginia*  
on death penalty for juveniles, 3  
on death penalty for mentally retarded,  
2, 4, 6, 7, 11, 16–17  
on deterrence factor, 15–16  
minority opinion, 22–23  
California, 16  
Delaware, 6  
*Furman v Georgia*, 3  
Georgia, 2, 3  
*Gregg v Georgia*, 3  
Idaho, 6  
Iowa, 5  
Kansas, 3, 5  
Kentucky, 22  
Louisiana, 9, 13, 14, 17–18  
Maryland, 2  
mentally retarded, *Atkins v Virginia* on, 11, 16–  
17  
Minnesota, 5  
Mississippi, 10, 15  
Missouri, 13, 19, 21  
New Hampshire, 7  
New York, 3, 5  
Oklahoma, 9, 18–19  
*Ring v Arizona*, 10  
South Carolina, 9, 12, 28  
South Dakota, 6  
*Stanford v Kentucky*  
briefs to, 8  
on development of juveniles, 13, 14  
impact of, 3, 4, 5, 29

#### *Stanford v Kentucky* (continued)

on juvenile death penalty, 12  
minority judgement, 10–11, 12, 13, 14  
Texas  
death penalty in, 8, 9, 10, 17, 28  
trials, 21–22, 28  
Utah, 6  
Virginia, 9, 10  
Washington State, 3  
Wisconsin, 5  
Wyoming, 6

#### INDEX TWO

*Atkins v Virginia*  
on culpability, 4, 11–12  
on execution of mentally retarded  
offenders, 2, 8, 28–29  
on international practices, 27–28  
on national consensus, 2, 8, 22–23  
on popularity of legislation, 2  
on retribution and deterrence, 15–16  
on risk of wrongful execution, 16–18  
on standards of decency, 11, 28–29  
death penalty, abolitionist states, 4–5  
*Furman v Georgia*, application of death penalty,  
3  
*Gregg v Georgia*, constitutionality of new laws,  
3  
national consensus, states abolitionist in  
practice, 7  
*Stanford v Kentucky*  
on culpability of youth, 12, 14–15  
on minimum age, 13

**States within the U.S.**

INDEX TWO

*Stanford v Kentucky* (continued)  
     opposition to execution, 8  
     on standards of decency, 10–11  
 states, Texas, 10  
 Texas, 10

INDEX THREE

abolition of death penalty, proposed, in New Hampshire, 7  
 abolitionist jurisdictions. See non-death penalty states  
*Atkins v. Virginia*  
     culpability of mentally impaired, 11, 15  
     deterrence, 15, 16  
     international standards, 27  
     judicial evaluation of national consensus, 22–23  
     legislation exempting mentally challenged, 4  
     rarity of use of death penalty, 2, 6, 8  
     risks of wrongful executions, 16–17  
     ruling in, 1  
     societal oppositions, 7, 8  
*Furman v. Georgia*, 3  
*Gregg v. Georgia*, 3  
 mentally challenged offenders, Texas  
     disproportionality, 10  
 national consensus, non-death penalty states, 4–5  
 non-death penalty states, 4–5  
 reinstatement of death penalty, by non-death penalty states, 5  
*Ring v. Arizona*, 10  
*Stanford v. Kentucky*  
     dissenting opinion, 10, 12, 13, 14  
     federal exemption for child offenders, 5  
     legislative environment, 3  
     non-death penalty states, 4  
     oppositions filed, 8  
     ruling in, 0, 1  
 Texas  
     disproportionality of executions, 10  
     executions of child offenders in, 1, 9, 10, 17, 28

INDEX FOUR

abolitionist jurisdictions, 5, 6  
 Alabama, 10, 22  
 Arizona, 8, 21  
 Arkansas, 7  
*Atkins v Virginia*  
     on national consensus, 5, 7, 8, 9, 23  
     on exemption of mentally retarded offenders, 2, 3, 8, 9, 12, 16, 17, 18, 19, 28, 29, 30  
     on outlawing child offender executions, 8  
 California, 17

child offenders  
     states allowing execution of, 7, 8, 10, 11, 27, 30  
     states exempting from death penalty, 6, 8  
 death row, statistics by state, 7, 8  
 Delaware, 7  
 District of Columbia, 5, 6  
*Furman v Georgia*, 4  
 Georgia, 3, 4, 10  
*Gregg v Georgia*, 4  
 Idaho, 7  
 Indiana, 5  
 Iowa, 6  
 Kansas, 4, 6  
 Kentucky, 23  
 Louisiana, 10, 11, 14, 15, 18, 19  
 Maryland, 3  
 mentally retarded  
     states allowing death penalty for, 9  
     states exempting from death penalty, 6  
 Minnesota, 6  
 Mississippi, 10, 11, 16  
 Missouri, 10, 14, 20, 22  
 Montana, 5  
 national consensus, and abolitionist states, 5, 6, 8  
 Nevada, 10  
 New Hampshire, 3, 7, 8  
 New Jersey, 7  
 New York, 4, 6  
 Oklahoma, 10, 19  
 Pennsylvania, 10  
 retentionist states, and exemptions, 6  
*Ring v Arizona*, 11  
*Stanford v Kentucky*, 1, 2, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 23, 30  
     dissenters' position, 5, 6, 13, 15, 24  
 Supreme Court rulings, for Washington State, 4  
 Texas, 2, 10, 11, 18, 22, Court of Criminal Appeals, 9  
 Utah, 7  
 Virginia, 10, 11  
 Washington State, 4  
 Wisconsin, 6  
 Wyoming, 7

INDEX SIX

abolitionist jurisdictions, 4  
 Arizona, 7  
 Arkansas, 6  
*Atkins v Virginia*  
     on consensus, 22  
     culpability standards, 11–12  
     national consensus, 2, 6  
     summary, 1  
     wrongful execution, 16  
 culture of death penalty in states, 9  
 Delaware, 6  
*Furman v Georgia*, 3, 5  
*Gregg v Georgia*, 3

## Comparisons pg 18

### States within the U.S.

#### INDEX SIX (continued)

Idaho, 6  
Iowa, 5  
Kansas, 5  
Louisiana, 9, 13  
Minnesota, 5  
Missouri, 13  
New Hampshire, 7  
New York, 5  
non-death penalty states, 4  
Oklahoma, 9  
*Ring v Arizona*, 10  
South Carolina, 9  
South Dakota, 6  
*Stanford v Kentucky*  
    on immaturity of juveniles, 12–13  
    on national consensus, 4, 5  
    religious organizations involvement in case,  
        8  
    standards of decency test, 10–11  
    summary, 0–1  
states that exempt child offenders from death  
    penalty, 3–5  
Texas, 8, 9, 10  
Utah, 6  
Virginia, 9  
Ward, Joseph, 14  
Wisconsin, 5  
Wyoming, 6

#### INDEX SEVEN

*Atkins v Virginia*, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11  
    Majority, 1, 2, 15–16  
*Furman v Georgia*, 3, 5, 6  
*Gregg v Georgia*, 3  
*Stanford v Kentucky*, 1, 3, 4, 8, 10  
    Dissenters, 12–13, 14  
Texas, 10

#### INDEX EIGHT

Alabama, 9, 10  
Arizona, 7  
Arkansas, 6  
*Atkins v Virginia*, 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 8  
death penalty  
    and abolitionist states, 4, 5, 7  
    retentionist states, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10  
    states that are abolitionist in practice, 2,  
        5, 6, 7  
Delaware, 6  
*Furman v Georgia*, 3, 5  
Georgia, 2, 3, 9  
*Gregg v Georgia*, 3  
Iowa, 5  
Kansas, 5  
Louisiana, 9, 10

Minnesota, 5  
Mississippi, 9, 10  
Missouri, 9  
Nevada, 9  
New Hampshire, 7  
New York, 5  
Oklahoma, 9  
Pennsylvania, 9  
*Penry v Lynaugh*, overturned by *Atkins v Virginia*,  
    1  
*Ring v Arizona*, 10  
South Carolina, 9, 12  
*Stanford v Kentucky*, 0, 1, 3  
    dissenting argument, 4, 5, 10  
    national consensus against executing  
        young offenders, 4, 5, 7  
    and religious organizations, 8  
Texas, 1, 8, 9, 10  
Virginia, 9, 10  
United States  
    abolitionist states, 4, 5  
    retentionist states, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7  
Wisconsin, 5  
young offenders  
    states that are abolitionist in practice for  
        y.o., 6, 7  
    states that retain death penalty for y.o.,  
        1, 6, 7, 9, 10

#### INDEX NINE

national consensus, states prohibiting any death  
    penalty and, 4–5  
statistics, countries prohibiting, 13  
Texas, 9, 10

#### INDEX TEN

abolitionist jurisdictions, 4  
Arizona, 7  
*Arizona, Ring v*, 10  
Arkansas, 6  
Delaware, 6  
*Furman v Georgia*, 3  
Georgia, 2  
*Georgia, Furman v*, 3  
*Georgia, Gregg v*, 3  
*Gregg v Georgia*, 3  
Iowa, 5  
jurisdictions,  
    abolitionist, 4  
    prohibiting executions of child  
        offenders, 3  
    prohibiting executions of mentally  
        retarded offenders, 2, 3  
Kansas, 3, 5  
*Kentucky, Stanford v*. See *Stanford v Kentucky*

**States within the U.S.**

INDEX TEN (continued)

Minnesota, 5  
 New Hampshire, 7  
 New York, 3  
*Stanford v Kentucky*,  
     abolitionist jurisdictions, 4  
     amicus curiae briefs, 8  
     culpability, 12-13, 14  
     decency, standards of, 0, 10  
     desirability of overturning, 0, 1, 28  
     as milestone, 0, 3, 23  
 states. see jurisdictions  
 Texas,  
     execution rates, 1, 9, 10  
     Toronto Patterson, intervenors for, 8  
*Virginia, Atkins v.* See *Atkins v Virginia*  
 Wisconsin, 5

INDEX ELEVEN

abolitionist states, 4-5  
*Atkins v Virginia*  
     consensus, national, 2, 6, 7, 8  
     culpability, 4, 11, 15  
     decision result, 1, 22, 28-29  
     deterrence, 15-16  
     dissenting justices, 22-23  
     wrongful execution, risk of, 16-17, 18  
*Furman v Georgia*, 3, 5  
*Gregg v Georgia*, 3  
 rare death penalty use in many U.S. states, 6  
*Stanford v Kentucky*  
     call to overturn, 29  
     decision result, 1, *intro page*  
     dissenting justices, 4, 10, 12-14  
     states with more restrictive laws, 3, 5  
 state legislation  
     all executions, prohibiting, 4-5  
     child offenders, prohibiting execution of,  
         2-4, 3  
     mentally retarded, prohibiting execution  
         of, 2, 3  
     reintroducing the death penalty, 4, 5  
 Texas, 1, 9, 10

INDEX TWELVE

*Furman v Georgia*, 3  
 Georgia, 9  
*Gregg v Georgia*, 3  
 Louisiana  
     execution of W. Francis, 5-6  
     number of executions, 4  
 Maryland, 9  
 New Hampshire, 10  
 New Jersey, 10  
 South Carolina, number of executions,

*Stanford v Kentucky*, 1-3, 5, 6-7, 9-10  
 Texas  
     leader in executions, 3  
     number of executions, 4  
 Virginia, number of executions, 4

INDEX THIRTEEN

*Atkins v Virginia*  
     under 18 year olds, 3  
     date of, 2  
     national consensus, 6  
     national organizations, 7  
     overturned *Henry v Linaugh*, 1  
     standard of decency ruling, 28-29  
     supreme court, 4, 6, 8  
     text of, 1, 4  
 executions, Texas, 10  
*Furman v Georgia*  
     Anti Drug Abuse Act, 5  
     context of, 3  
*Greg v Georgia*, 3  
 mental retardation, *Atkins v Virginia*, 6  
*Ring v Arizona*, 10  
*Stanford v Kentucky*  
     date of, 3  
     global standards, 23  
     and international UN members, 24  
     national consensus, 4  
     *Stanford* dissenters, 4, 10, 13, 14  
     supreme court, 1, 29  
     text of, 5  
 states with death penalty, 6, 7  
 states without death penalty, 2, 3, 4  
 Texas  
     distorting facts, 11  
     executions, amount of, 1  
     high % of executions, 10  
     under 18 year olds, *Stanford v Kentucky*, 5  
 United States Supreme Court  
     *Atkins vs Virginia*, 4, 6, 8  
     *Stanford v Kentucky*, 1, 29

INDEX FOURTEEN

Alabama, 9, 10, 21  
 Arizona, 7, 20  
*Atkins v Virginia*, 1, 6, 10, 28-29  
     majority opinion on, 1, 2, 4, 11, 27  
 executions of minors, in Texas and Virginia, 9-10,  
     17, 28  
 Georgia, 2, 3, 9  
 Kansas, 3, 5  
 Kentucky, 22  
 Louisiana, 9-10, 13, 14, 17-18  
 Mississippi, 9, 10, 15  
 Missouri, 9, 13, 19, 21  
 Nevada, 9  
 New Hampshire, 2, 7

## Comparisons pg 20

### States within the U.S.

#### INDEX FOURTEEN (continued)

New York, 3, 5

Oklahoma, 9, 18–19

Ring v Arizona, 10

South Carolina, 9, 28

Stanford v Kentucky, 1, 4, 5, 8, 22–23, 29

Texas

death penalty in, 8, 10

executions in, 1, 9–10, 14, 17, 27, 28

---

---

## Geography

#### INDEX TWO

child offenders

geographic concentration of executions,  
9–10

and geographical bias, 27

geographic concentration of executions, 9–10

geographical bias, 27

mentally retarded offenders, geographic

concentration of executions, 9–10

states, geographic concentration of executions,  
9–10

#### INDEX THREE

geographical distribution of juvenile death penalty,  
9–10, 27

#### INDEX FOUR

death penalty, geographical bias of, 10, 11, 28

#### INDEX SIX

geographical concentration, 9

#### INDEX NINE

juvenile death penalty, geographical  
concentration of, 9–10

#### INDEX TEN

geographical bias, 9, 27

proportionality, geographic, 10

#### INDEX ELEVEN

geographic concentration of child offender  
executions, 9, 27

#### INDEX THIRTEEN

death penalty, geographical concentration of,  
9, 10

geographics for death penalty, 9–10

#### INDEX FOURTEEN

death penalty for minors, geographical bias of, 9–10,  
27

---

---

## United Nations

#### INDEX ONE

Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC),  
24–25, 27

Safeguards Guaranteeing Protection of the  
Rights of Those Facing the Death Penalty  
(UN), 26–27

Human Rights Committee, 26, 28

#### INDEX TWO

Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC), 24

#### INDEX THREE

Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC), 0, 24,  
27, 28

UN Safeguards Guaranteeing Protection of the  
Rights of Those Facing the Death Penalty, 26–27,  
28

UN Sub-Commission on the Promotion and

Protection of Human Rights, 25–26

United Nations resolutions prohibiting death penalty  
for mentally challenged, 0

#### INDEX FOUR

Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC), 1, 25,  
26, 28, 29

Human Rights Committee, 27, 28

UN General Assembly, 26

UN Safeguards Guaranteeing Protection of Those  
Facing the Death Penalty, 27, 28, 29

United Nations, 1

#### INDEX SIX

Conventions on the Rights of the Child (CRC), 0,  
24–25

Human Rights Committee (UN), 25–27, 26–27, 27

## United Nations

### INDEX SIX (continued)

UN Safeguards Guaranteeing Protection of the Rights of Those Facing the Death Penalty, 26–27  
UN Sub-Commission on the Promotion and Protection of Human Rights, 25

### INDEX SEVEN

Convention on the Rights of the Child, 1, 24-25  
United Nations,

### INDEX EIGHT

Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC), 0  
United Nations, 0

### INDEX NINE

Convention on the Rights of the Child  
implementation of provisions of, 24–25  
juvenile death penalty provision of, 1, 24  
national constitutional constraints and, 24–28  
participating nations, 1  
ratification numbers for, 28  
regional reinforcement of, 24  
juvenile death penalty, Convention on the Rights of the Child and, 1, 24  
UN Safeguards Guaranteeing Protection of the Rights of Those Facing the Death Penalty, 26–27, 28

### INDEX TEN

article 6(5) (ICCPR), 25, 26, 27  
article 37 (CRC), 24  
Committee on the Rights of the Child, 24-25  
Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC), 0, 24, 27, 28  
  
Human Rights Committee, 25-26, 27

jurisdictions  
ratifying CRC, 27  
with reservations to CRC, 25  
United Nations,  
General Assembly, 25  
member states, 24  
Safeguards, 26-27, 28  
Special Rapporteur, 25

### INDEX ELEVEN

Convention on the Rights of the Child, 11, 24–28, *intro page*

### INDEX TWELVE

Convention on the Rights of a Child (1989), 1-2  
UN Economic and Social Council Resolution (1989), 1  
United Nations Declaration on the Protection of All Persons Against Disappearances, 6

### INDEX THIRTEEN

Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC), 24, 28  
*Stanford v Kentucky*, and international UN members, 24  
United Nations Safeguards of Those Facing the Death Penalty, 26, 27, 28  
United Nations Special Rapporteur, 25  
United Nations Sub-Commission on the Promotion and Protection of Human Rights, 25

### INDEX FOURTEEN

Committee on the Rights of the Child, 24–25  
Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC), 24–25, 26, 27, 28  
United Nations, 25. See also specific international treaties  
Safeguards Guaranteeing Protection of the Rights of Those Facing the Death Penalty, 26–27, 28

---

---

## International context (Excluding: UN)

### INDEX ONE

African Charter on the Rights and Welfare of the Child, 24  
China, 24  
Congo, Democratic Republic of, 25  
Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC), 24–25, 27  
Geneva Conventions, 26

Inter-American Commission on Human Rights (IACHR), 27  
International Commission of Jurists (ICJ), 23–24  
International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), 23, 24, 25–26, 27  
international treaties, 23–28  
Islamic nations, 24–25  
Pakistan, 25

## Comparisons pg 22

### **International context**

#### INDEX ONE (*continued*)

Safeguards Guaranteeing Protection of the Rights of Those Facing the Death Penalty (UN), 26–27

Somalia, 24

treaties, international, 23–28

Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, 26

Yemen, 25

#### INDEX TWO

child offenders, international prohibition, 24

Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC), 24

death penalty, and international human rights, 23–28

Geneva Convention, Fourth, 26

human rights and US death penalty, 23–28

International Commission of Jurists (ICJ), 23

International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), 23–27

international human rights and US death penalty, 23–28

international law, 23–27

prohibition, international, 24

#### INDEX THREE

abolition of death penalty, internationally, 13, 25, 28

African Charter on the Rights and Welfare of the Child, 24, 27

*Atkins v. Virginia*, international standards, 27

Committee on the Rights of the Child, 24, 25

Constitution Project reform recommendations, 11

constitutionality of death penalty, factors in determining. *See* national consensus

Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC), 0, 24, 27, 28

Geneva Conventions, 26, 27

International Commission of Jurists (ICJ), 23

International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), 0, 23, 24, 25–26, 27

international laws prohibiting juvenile death penalty  
effect on domestic laws, 24, 25  
non-derogability, 24, 26–27  
relevance in determining constitutionality, 23

US reservations to ICCPR, 25–27

international standards of legal representation, 18

Islamic law and juvenile death penalty, 24–25

mentally challenged offenders, international laws prohibiting death penalty for, 0, 23, 27–28

Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, 26

#### INDEX FOUR

African Charter on the Rights and Welfare of the Child, 25

child offenders, international instruments, 25

China, 25

Cuba, 14

Democratic Republic of Congo, 26

death penalty, international minimum ages for, 14

Geneva Conventions, 27

Human Rights Committee, 27, 28

International Commission of Jurists (ICJ), 24

International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR),  
1, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28

international disapproval, 28, 29

international standards of decency, 1, 2, 24, 25, 30

international treaty law, 26, 27, 30

Iran, 25

Islamic states, 25

Musharraf, President, 26

Nigeria, 25

Pakistan, 26

Saudi Arabia, 25

Somalia, 25

Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, 27

Yemen, 26

#### INDEX SIX

African Charter on the Rights and Welfare of the Child, 24

China, 24

Congo, Democratic Republic of, 25

consensus, international, 0–1, 23–27

Democratic Republic of Congo, 25

Geneva Conventions, 25–26

International Commission of Jurists, 23

international consensus against the death penalty for child offenders, 0–1, 23–27

International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), 23, 25–26

Iran, 25

Islamic law, 24–25

minimum age for death penalty eligibility worldwide, 13

Pakistan, 25

Saudi Arabia, 25

Yemen, 25

#### INDEX SEVEN

International Commission of Jurists, 23–24

International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights,

#### INDEX EIGHT

International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), 0

**International context**

INDEX EIGHT (*continued*)

international law  
     See also specific treaties  
     and death penalty for children, 1  
 United States Supreme Court, and international  
 standards, 0, 1  
 United States, and international treaties banning  
 execution of children, 0  
 young offenders, executions of, internationally,  
 0, 1

INDEX NINE

African Charter on the Rights and Welfare of  
 the Child, 24, 27  
 Convention on the Rights of the Child  
     national constitutional constraints and,  
     24–28  
     participating nations, 1  
     regional reinforcement of, 24  
 global. See international  
 International Commission of Jurists (ICJ), 23  
 International Covenant on Civil and Political  
 Rights  
     armed conflict and, 26  
     exceptions to, 27  
     juvenile death penalty provision of, 1, 25  
     number of participatory nations, 27  
     regional reinforcement of, 24  
     U.S. ratification of, 23  
     U.S. ratification reservations, 25–26  
 international law, 23  
 international standards  
     Amnesty International position  
     concerning, 1, 3  
     Atkins v Virginia on, 29  
 international treaties and organizations, 1, 24–  
 28  
 juvenile death penalty  
     countries prohibiting, 13  
     International Covenant on Civil and  
     Political Rights and, 24, 25  
     international implementation of, 25  
     international law standards and, 1, 13  
 Stanford v Kentucky, international standards  
 and, 29  
 statistics, 1, 7–8, 9–10  
     countries prohibiting, 13

statistics (*continued*)  
     for juvenile death penalty executions  
     worldwide, 25  
 treaties. See international treaties and  
     organizations  
 Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, 26

INDEX TEN

African Charter on the Rights and Welfare of  
 the Child, 24, 27  
 China, 24  
 customary international law, 24, 25  
 Fourth Geneva Convention, 26  
 Geneva Conventions, 26, 27  
 Inter-American Commission on Human Rights  
 (IACHR), 27  
 International Commission of Jurists (ICJ), 23  
 International Covenant on Civil and Political  
 Rights (ICCPR), 0, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27  
 international law, 1, 29  
     customary, 24, 25  
 international relations, 0, 23, 24, 25, 26  
 international standards, relevance of, 0, 29  
 jurisdictions,  
     ratifying CRC, 27  
     ratifying ICCPR, 25  
     with reservations to CRC, 25  
 nations. see jurisdictions  
 Pakistan, 25  
 Somalia, 0, 24, 28  
 United States, international relations, 0, 23,  
 24, 25, 26  
 Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, 26

INDEX ELEVEN

Convention on the Rights of the Child, 11, 24–  
 28, *intro page*  
 countries that execute child offenders, 24–25  
 decency. see also consensus, national  
 international standards of, 23  
 Geneva Convention, Fourth, 26  
 Inter-American Commission on Human Rights,  
 27  
 International Commission of Jurists (ICJ), 23  
 International Covenant on Civil and Political  
 Rights, 23, 25–27, *intro page*  
 international minimum ages for death penalty,  
 13, 23–28  
 Islamic law, allowable reservations to treaties,  
 24–25  
 minimum ages for death penalty, international,  
 13, 23–28  
 reservations countries make to treaties, 24–28

## Comparisons pg 24

### International context

#### INDEX ELEVEN (continued)

treaties addressing execution of child offenders, 23–28, *intro page*  
Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, 26

#### INDEX TWELVE

Convention on the Rights of a Child (1989), 1–2  
Hungary, 8  
International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, American reservation, 4–5  
international standards  
    position of US, 1–2, 4–5  
    US as global leader, 8  
mental retardation, in South African law, 7  
Somalia, 2  
South Africa  
    abolishes death penalty, 8  
    Constitutional Court, 7  
    death row petition, 6

#### INDEX THIRTEEN

*Atkins* majority, international consensus, 27  
executions, internationally, 23  
International Commission of Jurists (ICJ), 23  
International community  
    death penalty, 13, 24–25  
    opinions of, 23–24  
    organizations, 24

International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), 23, 24, 25, 26, 27  
international standards, 1, 2, 3  
mental retardation, execution internationally, 23  
*Stanford v Kentucky*, and international UN members, 24  
under 18 year olds, execution internationally, 23  
Vienna Convention, 26

#### INDEX FOURTEEN

African Charter on the Rights and Welfare of the Child, 24  
death penalty for mentally retarded, international views of, 27–28  
death penalty for minors, 1  
    international consensus on, 1, 27–28, 29  
    treaties on, 24–27  
    worldwide, 13, 24–25  
Geneva Convention, Fourth, 26  
International Commission of Jurists (IJC), 23  
International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), 23, 24, 25–27  
Islamic states, 24–25  
Somalia, 24, 28  
standards of decency, international, 23–28  
Supreme Court, and international standards, 1  
United States, and international treaties, 24, 25–27, 28  
Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, 26

---

---

## U.S. Foreign policy, Politics, and Politicians

#### INDEX ONE

Clinton, Bill, 5

#### INDEX TWO

legislation, politics of, 4

#### INDEX THREE

Clinton, Bill, 5  
Reagan, Ronald, 5

#### INDEX FOUR

Clinton, William J., 6  
Reagan, Ronald, 6

#### INDEX SIX

Clinton, Bill, 5  
Reagan, Ronald, 5

#### INDEX EIGHT

Clinton, Bill, 5

Reagan, Ronald, 5

#### INDEX NINE

Clinton, Bill, 5–6  
Reagan, Ronald, 5

#### INDEX TEN

diplomatic implications of executions, 23  
foreign policy, 23

#### INDEX ELEVEN

Clinton, William Jefferson, 5  
Reagan, Ronald, 5

#### INDEX THIRTEEN

politics of legislation, 2, 4

#### INDEX FOURTEEN

death penalty, as political issue, 4, 16

## Decency

### INDEX TWO

*Atkins v Virginia*, on standards of decency, 11, 28–29

*Stanford v Kentucky*, on standards of decency, 10–11

### INDEX THREE

evolving standards of decency test, 0–1, 2. *See also* national consensus

national consensus

evolving standards of decency, 0–1, 2

federal exemptions of child offenders, 5–6

legislation prohibiting juvenile death penalty, 3–4, 23

non-death penalty states, 4–5

rareness of use of death penalty, 2, 6–7, 8

ratification of international treaties, 23

societal oppositions to death penalty, 7–8

national standards of decency. *See* national consensus

standards of decency test, 0–1, 2. *See also* national consensus

### INDEX FOUR

international standards of decency, 1, 2, 24, 25, 30

standards of decency

determining, 7, 11, 24

evolving, 1, 2, 3, 4, 11, 12, 29, 30

### INDEX SIX

standards of decency test, 0, 2, 10–11, 23–24

*Stanford v Kentucky*, standards of decency test, 10–11

### INDEX EIGHT

United States Supreme Court, and evolving standards of decency, 0, 1, 6, 10

### INDEX NINE

decency. *See* international standards; national consensus

international standards

Amnesty International position

concerning, 1, 3

*Atkins v Virginia* on, 29

national consensus

Constitution Project conclusions and, 11  
evolution of, 3–9

Federal Death Penalty Act and, 5

local culture and, 9–10

measurement of, 7–8

states prohibiting any death penalty and, 4–5

Supreme Court interpretation of, 1, 2–3

standards of decency. *See* national consensus

### INDEX TEN

*Atkins v Virginia*, decency, standards of, 23, 28–29

decency, evolving standards of, 0, 6, 10, 23, 29

*Stanford v Kentucky*, decency, standards of, 0, 10

### INDEX ELEVEN

decency. *see also* consensus, national

evolving standards of, 2, 10–11, 28–29,  
*intro page*

international standards of, 23

### INDEX TWELVE

standards of decency, as changeable, 2

### INDEX THIRTEEN

*Atkins v Virginia*, standard of decency ruling, 28–29

decency, standard of, 2–3, 6

standards of decency, 2–3, 6

### INDEX FOURTEEN

standards of decency

international, 23–28

national, 2–10, 28–29

Supreme Court and, 10–11, 28–29

Supreme Court, and standards of decency, 10–11, 28–29

## Public opinion polls

### INDEX ONE

polls, opinion, 8

### INDEX TWO

opinion polls, 7-8

### INDEX THREE

opinion polls, 7, 8  
polling data, 7, 8

### INDEX FOUR

Gallup poll, 9  
opinion polls, 8, 9

### INDEX SIX

opinion polls, 8  
polls, 8

### INDEX SEVEN

Public opinion, 7-8

### INDEX EIGHT

death penalty, public opinion polls on, 8

### INDEX NINE

opinion polls, 7-8  
polls, 7-8

### INDEX TEN

*Atkins v Virginia*, polling data, 7, 8  
polling data, 7, 8

### INDEX ELEVEN

polling data, 7, 8

### INDEX TWELVE

public opinion, 2, 4, 8-9  
evolving a national consensus, 9-10  
professional organizations, 9

### INDEX THIRTEEN

Amnesty International, international opinion of death penalty, 24  
International community, opinions of, 23-24

---

---

## Exemptions

### INDEX TWO

child offenders, exemption in legislation, 5

### INDEX THREE

*Atkins v. Virginia*, legislation exempting mentally challenged, 4  
federal death penalty  
exemptions for child offenders, 5, 6  
exemptions for mentally challenged offenders, 2  
national consensus, federal exemptions of child offenders, 5-6  
*Stanford v. Kentucky*, federal exemption for child offenders, 5

### INDEX FOUR

*Atkins v Virginia*, on exemption of mentally retarded offenders, 2, 3, 8, 9, 12, 16, 17, 18, 19, 28, 29, 30  
child offenders, states exempting from death penalty, 6, 8  
exemptions from death penalty, 2, 4, 6, 12, 27, 28

mentally retarded, states exempting from death penalty, 6  
retentionist states, and exemptions, 6

### INDEX SIX

states that exempt child offenders from death penalty, 3-5

### INDEX EIGHT

death penalty, US Supreme Court rulings, 0 (see also specific cases), states exempting, 3, 5, 6  
mentally retarded offenders, states exempting from death penalty, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6  
young offenders, states exempting y.o. from death penalty, 2, 3, 4, 5

### INDEX TEN

jurisdictions, exempting child offenders from reintroduced death penalty, 5, 6

---

---

## Religions

### INDEX ONE

Islamic nations, 24–25  
religious communities, 7, 8

### INDEX TWO

religious communities, 7–8

### INDEX THREE

Islamic law and juvenile death penalty, 24–25

### INDEX FOUR

religious organizations, 8, 9  
Islamic states, 25

### INDEX SIX

Islamic law, 24–25  
religious communities, 7–8  
*Stanford v Kentucky*, religious organizations  
involvement in case, 8

### INDEX EIGHT

religious organizations, 7, 8

*Stanford v Kentucky*, and religious organizations,  
8

### INDEX NINE

*Stanford v Kentucky*, religious organizations  
against, 8

### INDEX TEN

*Atkins v Virginia*, religious organizations, 7  
Buddhist organizations, 7  
religious organizations, 7, 8

### INDEX ELEVEN

Islamic law, allowable reservations to treaties,  
24–25  
religious communities, positions of several, 7, 8

### INDEX FOURTEEN

Islamic states, 24–25  
religious organizations, 7–8